

Search Notes

Application/Control No.

09/945,160

Examiner

KAMAL B. DIVECHA

Applicant(s)/Patent under
Reexamination

VIAVANT ET AL.

Art Unit

2151

SEARCHED

| Class | Subclass | Date | Examiner |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|
| 709 | 224 | 6/5/2007 | KD |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED

| Class | Subclass | Date | Examiner |
|-------|----------|------|----------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

**SEARCH NOTES
(INCLUDING SEARCH STRATEGY)**

| | DATE | EXMR |
|--|-----------|------|
| EAST | 3/19/2008 | KD |
| FORWARD AND BACKWARD CITATION ON Einozahy and Barrick, jr. et al. | 3/19/2008 | KD |
| Consulted SPE John Follansbee regarding the 37 CFR 1.131, and the affidavit was considered ineffective to over come elnozahy, | 3/19/2008 | KD |
| because the affidavit failed to support the claimed invention. | 3/19/2008 | KD |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |